

ON AN UNUSUAL CONJECTURE OF KONTSEVICH AND VARIANTS OF CASTELNUOVO'S LEMMA

J.M. LANDSBERG

July 30, 1996

ABSTRACT. Let $A = (a_j^i)$ be an orthogonal matrix (over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) with no entries zero. Let $B = (b_j^i)$ be the matrix defined by $b_j^i = \frac{1}{a_j^i}$. M. Kontsevich conjectured that the rank of B is never equal to three. We interpret this conjecture geometrically and prove it. The geometric statement can be understood as variants of the Castelnuovo lemma and Brianchon's theorem.

§1. Definitions and Statements

Definition 1.1. Given a $k \times l$ matrix $A = (a_\alpha^i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq \alpha \leq l$, with no entries zero, define the *Hadamard inverse* of A , $B = (b_\alpha^i)$, by $b_\alpha^i = \frac{1}{a_\alpha^i}$. (The name is in analogy with the Hadamard product.)

Maxim Kontsevich conjectured the following:

Conjecture 1.2. (*Kontsevich (1988)*) *Let A be an orthogonal matrix (over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) with no entries zero. Let B be the Hadamard inverse of A . Then the rank of B is never equal to three.*

At first glance, (1.2) may not appear all that striking because based on a naive count, one would not expect any low rank Hadamard inverses of orthogonal matrices to exist (see (1.16)). However Kontsevich asserted and we show the following:

Theorem 1.3. *The space of $m \times m$ orthogonal matrices with rank two Hadamard inverses is $(2m - 3)$ -dimensional.*

We will rephrase (1.2), (1.3) in geometric language and prove them. First we will need some definitions:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E07, 14M210, 115A99.

Key words and phrases. rational curves, Cremona transform, quadrics, Castelnuovo's lemma, Brianchon's theorem, Gale transform, association.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-9303704.

Definitions 1.4. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let $Q \in S^2V^*$ be a nondegenerate quadratic form. Two points $z, w \in \mathbb{P}V$ are said to be *polar*, or more precisely, *Q -polar* if the corresponding lines in V are Q -orthogonal. Given a point $z \in \mathbb{P}V$, the *polar hyperplane of z with respect to Q* , $H_{Q,z}$, is the hyperplane of Q -polar points to z . A set of points $z_0, \dots, z_n$, none lying on the quadric in $\mathbb{P}V$ defined by Q , is said to be *apolar* with respect to Q if they are all mutually polar. (In particular, vectors in V representing them give a Q -orthogonal basis of V .) A quadratic form P is said to be apolar to Q if $\text{trace}_Q P = 0$.

In what follows, unless we specify the ground field, it may be taken to be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.5. Given a set of points $z_0, \dots, z_n$ spanning $\mathbb{P}^n$, define the *Cremona transform of $\mathbb{P}^n$ with respect to $\{z_i\}$* to be the rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, obtained by first blowing up the codimension two spaces spanned by subsets of $(n-1)$ -tuples of the points, and then blowing down the n hyperplanes containing sets of n of the points. In coordinates, if

$$(1.6) \quad z_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$$

where the 1 occurs in the i -th slot, the map is

$$(1.7) \quad \phi([x^0, \dots, x^n]) = [\frac{1}{x^0}, \dots, \frac{1}{x^n}].$$

Note that the images of the blown down hyperplanes determine a coordinate simplex in the image $\mathbb{P}^n$ which we will call the *image simplex*.

Conjecture (1.2) is equivalent to:

Theorem 1.8, Version 1. Let $z_0, \dots, z_n$ and $p_0, \dots, p_n$ be two sets of points spanning $\mathbb{P}^n$, each set apolar with respect to a nondegenerate quadratic form Q . Let ϕ denote the Cremona transform defined by the $\{z_i\}$. If the points $\phi(p_i)$ fail to span a $\mathbb{P}^3$, then in fact they span exactly a $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Theorem 1.8, Version 2. Let $z_0, \dots, z_n$ and $p_0, \dots, p_n$ be two sets of points spanning $\mathbb{P}^n$, each set apolar with respect to a nondegenerate quadratic form Q . Let Σ be the space of hypersurfaces of degree n having multiplicities of order $n-2$ at each z_i . (Σ is a $\mathbb{P}^n$.) Let $\Lambda := \{P \in \Sigma \mid p_i \in P \ \forall i\}$. If $\text{codim} \Lambda \leq 2$ then $\text{codim} \Lambda = 1$. In this case the points z_i, p_i all lie on a rational normal curve.

Equivalence of versions 1 and 2. Σ is the space of inverse images under ϕ of the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and for any $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a (possibly degenerate) rational normal curve. (The $\mathbb{P}^1$'s that we will consider will yield non-degenerate rational normal curves.)

One form of the classical Brianchon theorem says that given a conic in the plane and given two triangles circumscribing the conic, then the six points consisting of the vertices of the two triangles all lie on another conic. The $n = 3$ case of (1.8) provides variant of this which was originally proven by Weddle and Zeuthen (see [EP2] for an exposition of their work).

Corollary 1.9 (Weddle, Zeuthen). *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth conic. Let z_0, z_1, z_2 and p_0, p_1, p_2 be two sets of Q -apolar points. Then the six points $z_0, z_1, z_2, p_0, p_1, p_2$ all lie on a conic.*

Writing $p_j = [p_j^0, p_j^1, p_j^2]$, z_i as in (1.6), and let Q have equation $\sum_i (x^i)^2 = 0$, the conic all six points lie on is

$$(1.10) \quad (p_0^2 p_1^2 p_2^2) x^0 x^1 + (p_0^1 p_1^1 p_2^1) x^0 x^2 + (p_0^0 p_1^0 p_2^0) x^1 x^2.$$

More generally, we have:

Proposition 1.11. *Let $z_0, \dots, z_n$ and $p_0, \dots, p_n$ be two sets of points spanning $\mathbb{CP}^n = \mathbb{P}V$, each set apolar with respect to a smooth quadric Q . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{P \in \mathbb{P}S^2V^* \mid z_i, p_i \in P \forall i\}$. Then $\dim \mathcal{Q} = \binom{n}{2} - 1$.*

Proof. The dimension of the space of quadrics containing any $2n + 1$ points is $\binom{n}{2} - 1$, so we need to show that any quadric containing all but possibly one of the points also contains the last point. Recall that for two quadratic forms $Q, P \in S^2V^*$, that $\text{trace}_Q P = \sum_i P(v_i, v_i)$ is a well defined number, where $\{v_i\}$ is any Q -orthonormal basis of V . Take $Q \in S^2V^*$ and let $\hat{p}_i$ and $\hat{z}_i$ be corresponding Q -orthonormal bases. We have

$$P(\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}_0) + \dots + P(\hat{z}_n, \hat{z}_n) = P(\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_0) + \dots + P(\hat{p}_n, \hat{p}_n)$$

If all the points but perhaps p_n lie on P , we see that p_n must as well. $\square$

Relation to Castelnuovo's lemma 1.12. Castelnuovo's lemma says that if $2n + 3$ points lie on an $\{\binom{n}{2} - 1\}$ -dimensional linear system of quadrics, then in fact they all lie on a rational normal curve. $\{\binom{n}{2} - 1\}$ is the dimension of the space of quadrics containing a rational normal curve and the point of Castelnuovo's lemma is that not only is the space of the correct dimension, but it is actually a space cutting out a rational normal curve. Here we only have $2n + 2$ points. (1.11) shows that the apolarity conditions imply that the $2n + 2$ points always lie on an $\{\binom{n}{2} - 1\}$ -dimensional linear system of quadrics. When one adds the additional hypothesis on the Cremona images of the points, the system of quadrics cuts out a rational normal curve.

Proof of equivalence of (1.1) and (1.8). Write

$$(1.13) \quad A = (p_j^i)$$

and let

$$(1.14) \quad p_j = [p_j^0, \dots, p_j^n].$$

Without loss of generality take z_i as in (1.6) and let Q have equation $\sum_i (x^i)^2 = 0$. The Hadamard inverse of A is given by the coordinates of the $\phi(p_i)$ up to the ambiguity of scales which do not effect rank, i.e. the rank of the Hadamard inverse of A is the dimension of the span of the $\phi(p_i)$ plus one. $\square$

Proposition 1.15, Duality. *Let $z_0, \dots, z_n$ and $p_0, \dots, p_n$ be two sets of points spanning $\mathbb{P}^n$, each set apolar with respect to a nondegenerate quadratic form Q . If the images of the p_i under the Cremona transform defined by the z_i lie on a $\mathbb{P}^k$, then images of the z_i under the Cremona transform defined by the p_i also lie on a $\mathbb{P}^k$.*

(1.15) will follow from some remarks on the Gale transform given in §4.

Proposition 1.16. *There exist orthogonal rank k Hadamard inverses for $m \times m$ complex matrices for all $k \geq m - \sqrt{\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m}{2}} - 1$.*

Proof. Let $M = \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{P}M = \mathbb{P}^{m^2-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m^2-1}$ be the Cremona transform defined by the standard coordinates. Write $n = m - 1$.

Consider the Segre, $\text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n)$, in $\phi(\mathbb{P}M)$ and let $\sigma_k(\text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n))$ denote its k -th secant variety, the closure of the union of all $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$'s spanned by k -ples of points of the Segre. Let $Y_k = \phi^{-1}\sigma_k(\text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n))$, so Y_k is the space of matrices with Hadamard inverse of rank less than or equal to k . Note that $\dim Y_k = k(2m-k)-1$.

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}M$ be the space of orthogonal columns, i.e.

$$(1.17) \quad Z = \{A \mid A^t A \text{ is diagonal}\}.$$

Z is a complete intersection of the $\binom{m}{2}$ quadrics $\sum_i a_j^i a_k^i = 0$ for all $j < k$. (Z is isomorphic to the variety of complete flags.) Y_k will intersect Z if

$$(1.18) \quad k(2m-k)-1 + \binom{m+1}{2} - 1 \geq m^2 - 1,$$

i.e., if

$$(1.19) \quad k \geq m - \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{2} - 1}. \quad \square$$

§2. *Proof of 1.8.*

Let $A = (p_j^i)$ be as in (1.14) and let $\mathbb{P}^n = \phi(\mathbb{P}^n)$ have linear coordinates $y^0, \dots, y^n$ adapted to the image simplex. Let H_{ijk} ($i < j < k$) denote the hyperplane defined by the equation

$$(2.1) \quad E_{ijk} = p_i^0 p_j^0 p_k^0 y^0 + \dots + p_i^n p_j^n p_k^n y^n.$$

Let $q_j = \phi(p_j)$ so $q_j^i = p_j^0 \dots \hat{p}_j^i \dots p_j^n$, where the hat denotes omission. Note that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Span}\{q_i, q_j, q_k\} \subseteq H_{ijk}.$$

To verify (2.2), by symmetry it is sufficient to verify $q_i \in H_{ijk}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{ijk}(q_i) &= \Sigma_l p_i^l p_j^l p_k^l (p_i^0 \dots \hat{p}_i^l \dots p_i^n) \\
 (2.3) \qquad &= (p_i^0 \dots p_i^n) \Sigma_l p_j^l p_k^l \\
 &= (p_i^0 \dots p_i^n) \hat{Q}(\vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_k) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{p}_j \in V$ is a unit vector corresponding to $p_j \in \mathbb{P}V$.

Now say that the q_i span a $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then all the q_l 's are in the span of any q_i, q_j, q_k spanning the $\mathbb{P}^2$. For the moment, assume we are in the case that there exist two points, say, q_0, q_1 , such that no other q_i lies on the line between q_0 and q_1 . (This is always the case over $\mathbb{R}$, but over $\mathbb{C}$ there is the example of the nine flexes on a plane cubic.)

We claim that the intersection of $H_{012}, \dots, H_{01n}$ is at most a $\mathbb{P}^1$, which will prove (1.8) in this case.

To see the claim, say there were a linear relation among $E_{012}, E_{013}, \dots, E_{01n}$, e.g.

$$(2.4) \qquad a^2 E_{012} + a^3 E_{013} + \dots + a^n E_{01n} = 0.$$

The coefficient of y^j in (2.4) is

$$(2.5) \qquad a^2 p_0^j p_1^j p_2^j + \dots + a^n p_0^j p_1^j p_n^j = 0.$$

This implies (since none of the p_j^i are zero) that

$$(2.6) \qquad a^2 p_2^j + \dots + a^n p_n^j = 0 \quad \forall j$$

i.e. that there is a linear relation among the columns of the matrix A which is a contradiction.

Now say there are three points, say q_0, q_1, q_2 that are colinear but no other q_j lies on the line they span. We must show that among the hyperplanes containing the $\mathbb{P}^2$, that $(n-2)$ of them are independent. Say not, then for each $3 \leq \beta \leq n$ there must be a relation

$$(2.7) \qquad a_3^\beta E_{013} + \dots + a_n^\beta E_{01n} = a_\beta E_{02\beta},$$

i.e.,

$$(2.8.b) \qquad a_3^\beta p_1^j p_3^j + \dots + a_n^\beta p_1^j p_n^j = a_\beta p_2^j p_\beta^j \quad \forall j.$$

Note that if any of the a_β are zero we are done by the above argument. Similarly there must be a relation among $H_{023}, \dots, H_{02n}$ and H_{013} which implies an equation of the form:

$$(2.9) \qquad b_3 p_2^j p_3^j + \dots + b_n p_2^j p_n^j = b p_1^j p_3^j.$$

Substituting the right hand side of (2.8.3),..., (2.8.n) into the left hand side of (2.9) we obtain a relation involving p_1^j in each term which divides out and we are left with a relation among the rows p_β and thus a contradiction.

In the event even more points are required, the same argument as above still works, only one must use more relations. (To our knowledge there are no known configurations of points that span a plane with more than three points on each line.) $\square$

§3. Bases and the proof of (1.3)

Definition 3.1. A *base* Γ is a set of $(n + 2)$ points in $\mathbb{CP}^n$ in general linear position. The definition is motivated by the fact that all such $(n + 2)$ -ples of points are projectively equivalent. Note that there is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional linear system of rational normal curves through Γ which we will denote $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ and an $\left\{\binom{n+1}{2} - 2\right\}$ -dimensional linear system of quadric hypersurfaces through Γ , which we denote $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma$.

The following is a slight modification of some facts in [Con] and [DO]:

Lemma 3.2. *If we fix a base $\Gamma = \{z_0, \dots, z_n, p_0\}$ and a hyperplane H with $\Gamma \cap H = \emptyset$, then there is a unique quadric Q_0 such that the $\{z_i\}$ are apolar with respect to Q_0 and H is the polar hyperplane of p_0 with respect to Q_0 .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, take z_i as vertex points as in (1.6) and take $p_0 = [1, \dots, 1]$. Say H has equation $\sum_i a_i x^i = 0$. All quadrics for which the z_i are apolar are of the form $Q = \sum_i \lambda_i (x^i)^2$ for some constants λ_i . The p_0 -polar hyperplane of such a quadric has the equation $\sum_i \lambda_i x^i$, so we must have $\lambda_i = a_i$, uniquely determining Q_0 . $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *If we fix a base $\Gamma = \{z_0, \dots, z_n, p_0\}$, a hyperplane H and Q_0 as above, then for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$, the set of $n + 1$ points consisting of $R \cap H$ and p_0 is apolar with respect to Q_0 .*

Proof. see [DO] Lemma 5, p49. $\square$

Proof of (1.3). Fixing the z_i as in (1.6) and $Q_0 = \sum_i (x^i)^2$, i.e. fixing a copy of $SO(n + 1)$, we are free to pick p_0 from an open set in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and then there is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$'s worth of rational normal curves through $\{z_i, p_0\}$. We see that the dimension of complex orthogonal $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with rank two Hadamard inverses is $2n - 1$. Finally, taking Q_0 as above over $\mathbb{R}$ the same count is still valid. $\square$

Note that a rank one Hadamard inverse is impossible as ϕ is one to one off the hyperplanes that get blown down, and points on blown down hyperplanes correspond to a column vector with at least one entry equal to zero. (In fact the number of zeros in a column is the number of such hyperplanes the corresponding point lies on.)

§4. Some remarks on the Gale transform

Everything in this section with the exception of Version 3 of theorem 1.8 is classical and explained in modern language and greater generality in [DO], [EP1], and [EP2]. For our purposes, points will be distinct and in sufficiently general linear position so that there is no need to be concerned with degenerate cases, and this will enable a simplified exposition.

Definition 4.1. Let Γ be a set of $r + s + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^r$. A set Γ' of $r + s + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^s$ is said to be *associated* to Γ if when one chooses coordinates in the respective projective spaces and writes the points of Γ as the rows of an $(r + 1) \times (r + s + 2)$ matrix A and the points of Γ' as the rows of an $(s + 1) \times (r + s + 2)$ matrix B , that there exists a $(r + s + 2) \times (r + s + 2)$ diagonal matrix Λ with nonzero determinant such that $A\Lambda^t B = 0$. If the point sets are sufficiently nice there is a unique associated point set. (Of course all this defined up to $PGL(r)$ and $PGL(s)$ actions).

The set Γ' is called the *Gale transform* of Γ . We will explain association in coordinates.

Let $0 \leq i, j \leq r$, and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq s$. Write $\Gamma = \{z_i, p_\alpha\}$, and without loss of generality (assuming the z_i are in general linear position) write $z_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ where the 1 is in the i -th position. Write $p_\alpha = [p_\alpha^0, \dots, p_\alpha^r]$. Let $q_i = [p_0^i, \dots, p_s^i] \in \mathbb{P}^s$ and let $w_\alpha = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0] \in \mathbb{P}^s$ where the 1 is in the α -th position.

Proposition 4.2. *In the situation above, Γ is associated to $\Gamma' = \{w_\alpha, q_i\}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & p_0^0 & \cdots & p_s^0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & p_0^r & \cdots & p_s^r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -Id_{r+1} & \\ & Id_{s+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0^0 & \cdots & p_s^0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_0^r & \cdots & p_s^r \\ 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.3. *$Span\{p_\alpha\} = \mathbb{P}^k$ if and only if $Span\{q_i\} = \mathbb{P}^k$.*

Proof. Row rank equals column rank. $\square$

Proposition 4.4, Commutativity of association and Cremona. *Let z_i^* be the image simplex points determined by the Cremona transform of $\mathbb{P}^r$ in the z_i , which we denote ϕ_z . Similarly, let q_α^* be the simplex points determined by the Cremona transform of $\mathbb{P}^s$ in the q_α , which we denote ϕ_q . Then the associated point set of $\Gamma^* = \{z_i^*, \phi_z(p_\alpha)\}$ is $\Gamma'^* = \{\phi_q(w_\alpha), q_i^*\}$, i.e., $\Gamma'^* = \Gamma^{*'}.$*

Proof. The original set of points z_i, p_α yields a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & p_0^0 & \cdots & p_s^0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & p_0^r & \cdots & p_s^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under ϕ_z one gets a point set $z_i^*, \phi_z(p_\alpha)$ with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \frac{1}{p_0^0} & \cdots & \frac{1}{p_s^0} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \frac{1}{p_0^r} & \cdots & \frac{1}{p_s^r} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose associate is explained above. But taking transpose commutes with taking Hadamard inverse. $\square$

Definition 4.5. A set Γ of $2n + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is said to be *self associative* if it is associated to itself.

Proposition 4.6. $\Gamma = \{z_i, p_i\}$ is self associative if and only if the points sets $\{z_i\}$ and $\{p_i\}$ are both apolar with respect to some quadric Q .

Proof. Let z_i be simplex points as above. We need to show the point set Γ represented by the matrix (Id, P) is equivalent to the point set represented by the matrix $(Id, {}^tP)$, where P is a matrix whose columns are the entries of the p_i , if and only if the point sets are apolar with respect to a quadric Q . Let Q also denote the $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ matrix representing the quadric. Let The point set Γ' consisting of the columns of $({}^tP, Id)$ is equivalent to the point set consisting of the columns of $({}^tPQ, Q)$. The p_i are Q -apolar if ${}^tPQ = QP^{-1}$, in which case $({}^tPQ, Q)$ is equivalent to (QP^{-1}, Q) which is equivalent to (P^{-1}, Id) which is equivalent to (Id, P) . $\square$

In light of (4.6), we can rephrase (1.8) yet again:

Theorem 1.8, Version 3. Let $\Gamma = \{z_i, p_i\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a self-associated point set. Then $\text{Span}\{\phi_z(p_i)\} \neq \mathbb{P}^2$. $\text{Span}\{\phi_z(p_i)\} = \mathbb{P}^1$ if and only if Γ is contained in a rational normal curve.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank M. Kontsevich for suggesting the conjecture and useful comments, H. Pinkham for many useful conversations and S. Popescu for alerting the author to the relevant literature, interesting remarks, and useful comments.

References

- [Cob1], A.B. Coble, *Point sets and allied Cremona groups I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **16**, (1915), 155-198.
- [Cob2], A.B. Coble, *Point sets and allied Cremona groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **17**, (1916), 345-385.
- [Cob3], A.B. Coble, *Point sets and allied Cremona groups III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **18**, (1917), 331-372.

- [Cob4], A.B. Coble, *Associated sets of points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**, (1922), 1-20.
- [Con], Conner, *Basic systems of rational norm-curves*, American Journal of Mathematics, **32**(1911), p. 115-176.
- [DO], Dolgachev and Ortland, *Point sets in projective spaces and theta functions*, Astérisque **165**(1988). 210pp.
- [EP1], D. Eisenbud and S. Popescu, *Gale duality and free resolutions of ideals of points.*, preprint.
- [EP2], D. Eisenbud and S. Popescu, *The projective geometry of the Gale transform.*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027
E-mail address: `jml@math.columbia.edu`